

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/621,952	07/21/2000	Wendy Robbins	T101	T101 4545	
7	590 06/05/2002				
Donald J Len	kszus P C	EXAMINER			
P O Box 3064 Carefree, AZ	85377		KOO, BENJAMIN KIM		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3764		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A7		
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	•		
		09/621,952	3	ROBBINS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Benjamin Koo		3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	March 2002 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-21 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,8 and 15-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been rece	ived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	:(s)/						
2) Notic	V e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(eatent Application (PTC			
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of f	Paper No. 10		

Application/Control Number: 09/621,952 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lacey in view of Fuld '908. Lacey shows a massage device comprising: a handle portion (22), a plurality of flexible, resiliently deformable fingers (12), each having a free end (18), means for securing (outer coiling generally designated by 22), and a first end cap (24), but does not show the second end cap and the tubular body. Fuld shows a device comprising outwardly extending fingers including a tubular body (a) and a "second" end cap (d) having an aperture. It would have been obvious to use the handle configuration of Fuld because it is considered an obvious art-recognized alternative handle structure for securing outwardly extending finger members in a hand held implement. Limitations regarding material choices are considered obvious design choices, well within the knowledge of a skilled artisan, to suit various needs and applications.
- 3. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lacey and Fuld as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Beran. Lacey and Fuld show all the structural and functional limitations of the invention as set forth in the previous paragraphs of this office action except for the tip coverings. Beran shows tip

Application/Control Number: 09/621,952

Art Unit: 3764

coverings (D) on a similar device. It would have been obvious to incorporate tip coverings as taught in Beran Because such limitations are considered obvious design choices, known in the art to increase surface area for greater stimulation and for user comfort by preventing skin puncture. Limitations regarding material choices are considered obvious design choices, well within the knowledge of a skilled artisan, to suit various needs and applications.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show the solid insert member disposed inside the tubular body for retaining each of the finger members as substantially claimed by the applicant.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/621,952

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin Koo whose telephone number is 703-308-2657. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W-F; 9:30-8.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-746-4892 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

bk

June 3, 2002

Mihael G. Br